

Sri Y. VEERAPPA (Holenarasipur).—Sir, you were pleased to make some observation regarding the adjournment motion. For heaven's sake, I am not entering into the merits or finding fault with the decision of the esteemed Chair. You were pleased to state that it does not amount to adjudication because of several reasons. Would it not frustrate rule 40 of the VIII Chapter if opportunity is not accorded to discuss this matter—an important matter of recent nature which affects the prestige, honour and safety of our brothers and sisters?

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, the Hon'ble Member is trying to over-ride my ruling in an indirect method. I have said that I have disallowed the adjournment motion. There is the end of the matter. If the Hon'ble Member wants to speak on the matter, there is an opportunity because we are going to discuss the Vote on Account.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Industries and Home Affairs).—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table the State Transport Undertakings (Mysore) Rules, 1958 issued under Section 68-1 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 (Central Act IV of 1939).

BUDGET FOR 1958-59—DEMANDS FOR GRANTS ON ACCOUNT.

No. 2—Land Revenue

7. Land Revenue

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 50,79,000 be granted ‘on account’ to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the period from 1st April 1958 to end of 31st day of July 1958, in respect of ‘Land Revenue’.”

Before discussion starts, I must say that members should take that all the Demands are before the House and they

may make remarks in respect of all the Demands including the one which I have now put before the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ (ಚನ್ನಪಟ್ಟಣ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾವು ನಿನ್ನ ಸೋಲಿಸರತಕ್ಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಈಗ ಮಂಡಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ‘Vote on Account’ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾವು ಹೊರಟು ಹೋಗುತ್ತೇವೆ. We stage a walk out.

(The Opposition members withdrew from the House.)

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—Sir, I have a small technical difficulty which I would like to place before you. I want to know whether this Vote on Account is placed before the House under the provisions of Rule 127 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business of the House. I hope that the vote on account for the period from 1st April to end of July will have to be covered by certain provisions and it is under the provisions of Rule 127 that it is placed before the House. Therefore it is also necessary that it should be circumscribed by Rule 121 relating to demands for grants and so this has to be done in consultation with the Estimates Committee. I would like to know the necessity for placing before this House the vote on account for a period of 4 months. An explanation for the same may kindly be given. I would also like to know the opinion of the Estimates Committee when it was consulted as contemplated by the provisions of Rule 121.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Estimates Committee is supposed to send the form only.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—I want to know why this piecemeal vote on account is being placed before the House.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—I think I have already explained the reasons for adopting this method. This method has been adopted because by that members may have the opportunity of discussing the budget at greater length item by item and department by department when the demands for grants come before the House instead of being required to finish the discussion before the end of